

STATE OF MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

124 7th Place East . Suite 350 . St. Paul, Minnesona 55404-2147.

612/296-7124 (FAX) 612/297-7078 (TDD) 612/297-1200

August 8, 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

AUG 1 2 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Secretary Caton:

The Federal Communications Commission, In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, FCC 97-157 (adopted May 7, 1997), paragraph 248, directed:

[A]s the basis for calculating federal universal service support in their states, we will use forward-looking economic cost studies conducted by state commissions that choose to submit such cost studies to determine universal service support. ... We ask states to elect, by August 15, 1997, whether they will conduct their own forward-looking economic cost studies.

In accordance with the Commission's request, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (MPUC) hereby informs you that the State of Minnesota, by and through the MPUC, will conduct its own cost studies for the purpose of calculating universal service support (see the attached MPUC Order). The MPUC is engaged in proceedings to identify and adopt an appropriate cost model.

Questions regarding the status of the Minnesota proceedings regarding universal support may be directed to Mr. Mark Oberlander, Manager of the Telecommunications Unit, at 612-296-1335.

Sincerely,

Buri Haar,

Executive Secretary

Enclosure

No. of Copies rec'd

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Edward A. Garvey
Joel Jacobs
Marshall Johnson
Don Storm

Chair Commissioner Commissioner Commissioner

In the Matter of the State of Minnesota's Possible Election to Conduct Its Own Forward Looking Economic Cost Study to Determine the Appropriate Level of Universal Service Support

ISSUE DATE: August 8, 1997

DOCKET NO. P-999/M-97-909

ORDER ELECTING TO CONDUCT
MINNESOTA-SPECIFIC COST STUDY
AND ESTABLISHING COMMENT
DEADLINES

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On May 8, 1997 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued a Report and Order and promulgated regulations implementing the universal service requirements of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). REPORT AND ORDER, FCC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 97-157 (Universal Service Order). Among other things, the Universal Service Order established procedural and substantive guidelines for determining the levels of federal universal service support required in each state to meet the Act's objectives of ensuring that low income customers and customers in rural, insular, and high cost areas have access to telecommunications services comparable to the services available to urban customers and at comparable rates. Universal Service Order, ¶¶ 199 et seq., 47 U.S.C. § 254(b)(3).

The Report and Order determined that the cost of service in each state would be based on forward looking economic cost studies. Universal Service Order ¶ 223 et seq. States could conduct their own cost studies, which must meet FCC standards, or they could choose to rely on the FCC to determine the cost of service based on the FCC's soon-to-be-developed forward-looking cost methodology. States intending to conduct their own cost studies must notify the FCC by August 15, 1997 and must file their studies by February 6, 1998. Universal Service Order ¶ 248.

On June 19, 1997 this Commission issued a notice requesting comments on whether Minnesota should elect to conduct its own forward-looking economic cost study. The following persons filed comments: the Department of Public Service, MCI Telecommunications Corporation,

Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104 110 Stat. 56, codified at 47 U.S.C. §§ 151 et seq.

U S WEST Communications, Inc., AT&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc., Contel of Minnesota, Inc. d/b/a GTE Minnesota, and Sprint Communications Company L.P.

All commenting parties took the position that Minnesota should conduct its own forward-looking economic cost study.

The matter came before the Commission on August 5, 1997.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The Commission agrees with the parties that Minnesota should conduct its own forward-looking economic cost study.

Only a Minnesota-specific study can ensure that cost characteristics unique to this state are fully reflected in Minnesota's federal universal service funding levels. While cost components and the ratios between them may be similar from state to state, there may also be differences that only state-specific studies can accurately reflect. The safest course is to conduct a Minnesota-specific study.

Furthermore, only a Minnesota-specific study can ensure that universal service rates reflect the same costs as the rates set for unbundled network elements. If these rates reflect radically different costs, companies serving high cost areas will either over-recover or under-recover costs from the universal service fund, defeating its purpose, distorting the market, and impeding the development of true competition.

Finally, until the FCC's forward-looking cost methodology is developed, it is impossible to be sure that it will be the most accurate tool for capturing the costs of providing service in Minnesota. For all these reasons, the Commission elects to conduct its own forward-looking economic cost study to determine the cost of universal service in Minnesota.

The Commission invites interested parties to file comments on the best procedural vehicle for conducting this study, including whether the matter should be referred to the Office of Administrative Hearings for evidentiary development. Given the complexity of the task and the FCC's February 6 deadline, the Commission will solicit procedural comments on an expedited basis. Initial comments will be due seven days from the date of this Order, with reply comments due seven days later.

The Commission will so order.

ORDER

- 1. Minnesota elects to conduct its own forward-looking economic cost study for purposes of determining the cost of providing universal service in Minnesota.
- 2. Initial comments on the best procedural vehicle for conducting this forward-looking economic cost study shall be filed within seven days of the date of this Order.
- 3. Reply comments shall be filed within seven days of the close of the initial comment period.
- 4. This Order shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Burl W. Haar

Executive Secretary

(SEAL)

This document can be made available in alternative formats (i.e., large print or audio tape) by calling (612) 297-4596 (voice), (612) 297-1200 (TTY), or 1-800-627-3529 (TTY relay service).

08/12/9	7 TUE 14:38 FAX 2024180	307 oMD			. and 1999 regard a stransfer and the	aratis servasasellikasases		2 002
08/08 1	7:09 9161264719	03	0538	TRANSMIT	ECM	8	OK	03'59
08/12 1	1:04 FCC-0ET	1	5258	AUTO RX	ECM	8	OK	04'13
08/12 1	202 418 19 2:31 CCB *		0539	TRANSMIT	ECM	3	ок	05'05
08/12 1	4:36	67	5259	AUTO RX	ECM	5	oK	01'31

ST. TIME	CONNECTION TEL/ID	SENDER NAME	NO.	MODE		PGS.	R	ESULT
07/09 11:03	PRINTING OFFICE		0517	TRANSMIT	ECM	2	ок	01'01
07/09 11:31	93017634497		{	{				
07/11 11:24]				G3	1	OK	00'54
07/11 11:24	95235216		1	ł .	ECM	4	OK	01'22
07/14 13:17	202 707 1899		l .		ECM	10	OK	03'30
07/15 11:13				l .	ECM		OK	00'42
07/15 16:25					G3		OK	03'43
07/16 11:57	31410/920//0		1		G3		OK	01'07
07/16 12:15	93019330065		i .	ŀ	G3		OK	01'51
07/22 10:28	33013330003		,	1	G3		OK	02'34
	·		3247	AUTO RX	G3	0	NG	00'39
07/22 15:34	95235216		0500	MD ANGWER		_	0	#005
07/22 16:22	95235216			i	ECM	8		02'55
07/22 18:50					ECM	1	OK	00'50
07/25 09:31			ı		ECM		OK	01'16
	2024180195		3249	AUIU KA	ECM	1	OK	01'07
07/25 09:33			5250	AUTO RX	ECM		OF	01100
	2024180195		0230	AUIU KA	CCM	1	ОК	01'02
07/25 11:39	2024011252		5251	AUTO RX	ECM		OV	00101
07/25 11:42	FCC MMB P&R DIV.				ECM ECM		OK	00'31
	94182053		0324	IRANOMII	CCM	4	OK	04'01
07/28 12:14	1		5252	AUTO RX	ECM	9	OK	00120
07/29 17:28			5		ECM		OK	00'36 06'11
	2028878291		1	Incio ka	CM	13	OK	00 11
07/30 11:48	C.B.S.I.		5254	AUTO RX	ECM	9	OK	01'22
	202 396 4592			noro ka	LICH		OK	01 22
07/31 11:59	92025548109		0525	TRANSMIT		Λ	NG	00'00
	}						i i	STOP
07/31 12:01	ANA		0526	TRANSMIT	ECM	2	ок	01'05
	95540189			,		-		01 00
08/04 12:25	PT0		0527	TRANSMIT	ECM	2	oĸ	00'49
	97033085083		}	}		_	}	00 10
08/05 10:47			0528	TRANSMIT	ECM	3	oĸ	01'22
08/05 13:11			0529		ECM		OK	07'58
	4182376]					
08/06 09:55	95474747		0530	TRANSMIT		0	NG	00'00
00/00 00 55	({	{			0	#018
08/06 09:56	5472083		0531	TRANSMIT		0	NG	00'00
00/00 00:5=			(0	#018
08/06 09:57	5472083		0532	TRANSMIT		0	NG	00'00
08/06 10-01							0	#018
08/06 10:01 08/06 10:03	95472083				ECM	1	OK	00'43
00100 TO:03	5472083		0533	TRANSMIT		0	NG	00'00
08/06 10:04	05480000						0	#018
08/06 10:04	95472083				ECM		OK	01'38
00/00 10.00	5472083		0534	TRANSMIT		0	NG	00'00
08/06 15:02	FCC Intnl Bureau						0	#018
30. 30 10.02	4182818		U537	TRANSMIT	ECM	2	OK	00'51
08/07 11:45	FCC Intnl Bureau			ATT/00 500	.		_	
20. 01 II.40	202 418 2818		5255	AUTO RX	ECM	3	OK	02'04
08/07 14:12				ALUTO DE	 -			
JU. J. 14.12	2024181797		5256	AUTO RX	ECM	2	OK	00'59
08/08 16:59	MASS DEPT PUB UT			ATTO DY		_		
JE. 30 10.00	617 723 8812		5257	AUTO RX	ECM	5	OK	02'01
	VII 123 0012		l	I		·	l	